

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

<b>CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,</b>	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	<b>Case No. 6:13-cv-507</b>
<b>v.</b>	§	
	§	
<b>HTC CORPORATION, ET AL.</b>	§	
	§	

---

<b>CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,</b>	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	<b>Case No. 6:13-cv-508</b>
<b>v.</b>	§	
	§	
<b>LG ELECTRONICS, INC., ET AL.</b>	§	
	§	

---

<b>CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,</b>	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	<b>Case No. 6:13-cv-509</b>
<b>v.</b>	§	
	§	
<b>PANTECH CO., LTD., ET AL.</b>	§	
	§	

---

<b>CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,</b>	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	<b>Case No. 6:13-cv-510</b>
<b>v.</b>	§	
	§	
<b>BLACKBERRY LIMITED, ET AL.</b>	§	
	§	

---

<b>CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,</b>	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	<b>Case No. 6:13-cv-511</b>
<b>v.</b>	§	
	§	
<b>ZTE CORPORATION, ET AL.</b>	§	
	§	

---

<b>CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,</b>	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	
<b>AMAZON.COM, INC., ET AL.</b>	§	<b>Case No. 6:13-cv-568</b>
	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	
<b>CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,</b>	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	
<b>DELL INC.</b>	§	<b>Case No. 6:13-cv-569</b>
	§	
	§	
	§	
<b>CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,</b>	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	
<b>NEC CASIO MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS, LTD., ET AL.</b>	§	<b>Case No. 6:13-cv-584</b>
	§	

### **ORDER**

Before the above-styled cases were consolidated, the Defendants in each case filed a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim (Cause No. 6:13-cv-507, Docket No. 53; Cause No. 6:13-cv-508, Docket No. 49; Cause No. 6:13-cv-509, Docket No. 34; Cause No. 6:13-cv-510, Docket No. 43; Cause No. 6:13-cv-511, Docket No. 48; Cause No. 6:13-cv-568, Docket No. 28; Cause No. 6:13-cv-569, Docket No. 20; Cause No. 6:13-cv-584, Docket No. 46). Following consolidation, Plaintiff Cellular Communications Equipment LLC filed an Amended Complaint against all Defendants (Cause No. 6:13-cv-507, Docket No. 92). All Defendants in the consolidated action except Microsoft Corporation<sup>1</sup> subsequently filed motions to dismiss the

<sup>1</sup> All obligations for Microsoft Corporation are currently subject to a stay. *See* Cause No. 6:13-cv-507, Docket No. 122.

Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim (Cause No. 6:13-cv-507, Docket Nos. 107, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 116).

Because the Amended Complaint supersedes Plaintiff's original Complaint and in light of the new motions to dismiss, the original motions to dismiss are now moot. Accordingly, the following motions are **DENIED AS MOOT**: Cause No. 6:13-cv-507, Docket No. 53; Cause No. 6:13-cv-508, Docket No. 49; Cause No. 6:13-cv-509, Docket No. 34; Cause No. 6:13-cv-510, Docket No. 43; Cause No. 6:13-cv-511, Docket No. 48; Cause No. 6:13-cv-568, Docket No. 28; Cause No. 6:13-cv-569, Docket No. 20; and Cause No. 6:13-cv-584, Docket No. 46.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 25th day of April, 2014.**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Leonard Davis', written over a horizontal line.

**LEONARD DAVIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**